

# BAYES ESTIMATION UNDER A GENERAL CLASS OF BALANCED LOSS FUNCTIONS

MOHAMMAD JAFARI JOZANI,<sup>a,1</sup> ERIC MARCHAND,<sup>a,2</sup> AHMAD PARSIAN,<sup>b,3</sup>

*a Université de Sherbrooke, Département de mathématiques, Sherbrooke, QC, CANADA,  
J1K 2R1*

*b School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Tehran, Tehran,  
IRAN*

## ABSTRACT

For estimating an unknown parameter  $\theta$ , we introduce and motivate the use of balanced loss functions of the form  $L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}(\theta, \delta) = \omega\rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega)\rho(\theta, \delta)$ , as well as weighted versions  $q(\theta)L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ , with  $q(\cdot)$  being a positive weight function, where  $\rho(\theta, \delta)$  is an arbitrary loss function,  $\delta_0$  is a chosen a priori “target” estimator of  $\theta$ , and the weight  $\omega$  takes values in  $[0, 1)$ . A general development with regards to Bayesian estimators under  $L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}$  is given, namely by relating such estimators to Bayesian solutions for the unbalanced case, i.e.,  $L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}$  with  $\omega = 0$ . Illustrations are given for various choices of  $\rho$ , such as for absolute value, entropy, linex, intrinsic (i.e., model based), and a generalization of squared error losses.

**Keywords and phrases:** Balanced loss function; Bayes estimator; entropy loss; intrinsic loss; Linex loss

MSC: 62C05; 62C10; 62F10; 62F30

---

<sup>1</sup> e-mail: Mohammad.Jafari.Jozani@Usherbrooke.ca

<sup>2</sup>Research supported by NSERC of Canada (corresponding author; e-mail: eric.marchand@usherbrooke.ca)

<sup>3</sup>Research supported by a grant of the Research Council of the University of Tehran. (e-mail: ahmad\_p@khayam.ut.ac.ir)

# 1 INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns Bayesian point estimation of an unknown parameter  $\theta$  of a model  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim F_\theta$ , under “Balanced” loss functions of the form:

$$L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta) = \omega \rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega) \rho(\theta, \delta), \quad (1)$$

as well as weighted versions  $q(\theta)L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ , with  $q(\cdot)$  being a positive weight function (see Remark 2). Here  $\rho(\theta, \delta)$  is an arbitrary loss function, while  $\delta_0$  is a chosen a priori “target” estimator of  $\theta$ , obtained for instance from the criterion of maximum likelihood estimator, least-squares, or unbiasedness among others. Loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ , which depends on the observed value of  $\delta_0(X)$ , reflects a desire of closeness of  $\delta$  to both: **(i)** the target estimator  $\delta_0$ , and **(ii)** the unknown parameter  $\theta$ ; with the relative importance of these criteria governed by the choice of  $\omega \in [0, 1)$ .

Loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  may be viewed as a natural extension to Zellner’s (1994) balanced loss function (in one dimension), the latter being specific to a squared error loss  $\rho$  and a least-squares  $\delta_0$ . Subsequent findings, due to Dey, Ghosh and Strawderman (1999), as well as Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006); who also study the use of more general target estimators  $\delta_0$ ; involve the issues of Bayesianity, admissibility, dominance, and minimaxity and demonstrate how results for unbalanced ( $\omega = 0$ ) squared error loss serve directly (and necessarily) in obtaining results for the balanced case with  $\omega > 0$ .

Here we give a general Bayesian estimation connection (Lemma 1) between the cases  $\omega = 0$  and  $\omega > 0$ . This useful connection is quite general, especially with respect to the choices of  $\rho$  and  $\delta_0$ . Illustrations are given for various choices of  $\rho$ , such as for absolute value, entropy, linex, intrinsic (i.e., model based), and a generalization of squared error losses. We conclude with a discussion of ongoing related work.

## 2 BAYES ESTIMATION UNDER BALANCED LOSS

We consider here Bayes estimation under balanced loss function  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  as in (1). When  $\omega = 0$ , we simply use  $L_0$  instead of  $L_{\rho, 0, \delta_0}$  unless we want to emphasize the role of  $\rho$ . We show how the Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  under balanced loss function  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  can be derived or expressed in terms of a Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  under the loss  $L_0$ . We assume throughout

necessary conditions for finite expected posterior loss. In particular, we work with  $\rho$  and  $\delta_0$  such that  $\rho(\delta, \delta_0) < \infty$  for at least one  $\delta \neq \delta_0$ .

**Lemma 1** *For estimating  $\theta$  under balanced loss function  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  in (1) and for a prior  $\pi(\theta)$ , the Bayes estimator  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$  corresponds to the Bayes solution  $\delta^*(X)$  with respect to  $(\pi^*(\theta|x), L_0)$ , for all  $x$ , where*

$$\pi^*(\theta|x) = \omega 1_{\{\delta_0(x)\}}(\theta) + (1 - \omega)\pi(\theta|x),$$

*i.e., a mixture of a point mass at  $\delta_0(x)$  and the posterior  $\pi(\theta|x)$ .*

**Proof:** Denoting  $\mu_X(\cdot)$  and  $\nu_X(\cdot)$  as dominating measures of  $\pi(\theta|x)$  and  $\pi^*(\theta|x)$  respectively, we have by definition of  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)$ ,  $\delta^*(x)$ , and  $L_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta} \int_{\Theta} \{\omega \rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega)\rho(\theta, \delta)\} \pi(\theta|x) d\mu_X(\theta) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta} \int_{\Theta \cup \{\delta_0(x)\}} L_0(\theta, \delta) \pi^*(\theta|x) d\nu_X(\theta) = \delta^*(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1** *Strictly speaking,  $\delta^*(X)$  is not a Bayes estimator under loss  $L_0$  (because  $\pi^*(\theta|x)$  is not the posterior associated with  $\pi$ ), while  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$  is indeed Bayes under loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  and prior  $\pi$ .*

**Remark 2** *Lemma 1 also applies for weighted versions of balanced loss function (1), i.e.,  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}^q(\theta, \delta) = q(\theta)L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ , with  $q(\theta)$  being a positive weight function. Indeed, it is easy to see that the Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  under loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}^q$  using the prior  $\pi_0$  is equivalent to the Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  under loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$  using prior  $\pi \equiv q \times \pi_0$ .*

We pursue with various applications of Lemma 1.

**Example 1** *(A generalization of squared error loss). In (1), the choice  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = \rho_1(\theta, \delta) = \tau(\theta)(\delta - \theta)^2$ , with  $\tau(\cdot) > 0$ , leads to loss  $\omega\tau(\delta_0)(\delta - \delta_0)^2 + (1 - \omega)\tau(\theta)(\delta - \theta)^2$ . Since under  $L_0$  and a prior  $\pi$ , the Bayes estimator is given by  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{E_{\pi}(\tau(\theta)|x)}$  (subject to the finiteness conditions  $E_{\pi}(\theta^i\tau(\theta)|x) < \infty$ ;  $i = 0, 1$ ; for all  $x$ ), Lemma 1 tells us that*

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi^*}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{E_{\pi^*}(\tau(\theta)|x)} = \frac{\omega\delta_0(x)\tau(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{\omega\tau(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\tau(\theta)|x)}.$$

*The case  $(\tau(\theta) = 1, \delta_0 = \text{least square estimator})$  leads to balanced squared error loss (and  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega\delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$ ) as introduced by Zellner (1994), and as further analyzed by Dey, Ghosh and Strawderman (1999). The case  $L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$  with  $\tau(\theta) = 1$  and*

arbitrary  $\delta_0$ , as well as its weighted version  $q(\theta)L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ , were investigated by Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006) with respect to classical decision theory criteria such as Bayesianity, dominance, admissibility, and minimarity. Gómez-Déniz (2006) investigates the use of  $q(\theta)L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$  with  $\tau(\theta) = 1$  to credibility premiums.

**Example 2** (Entropy balanced loss). The choice  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = \frac{\theta}{\delta} - \log \frac{\theta}{\delta} - 1$  in (1) leads to loss:

$$\omega \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta} - \log \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta} \right) - 1 \right) + (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} - \log \left( \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) - 1 \right). \quad (2)$$

Since under  $L_0$  (i.e.,  $\omega = 0$  in (2)), and a prior  $\pi$ , the Bayes solution is given by  $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$  (subject to the finiteness conditions:  $E_{\pi}(\theta|x) < \infty$  and  $E_{\pi}(\log(\theta)|x) < \infty$ , for all  $x$ ). Lemma 1 tells us that  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = E_{\pi^*}(\theta|x) = \omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$ . As a special example, take the model  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ ;  $\alpha$  known;  $\theta > 0$ ; (density proportional to  $x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\theta}$ ); and consider estimating  $\theta$  under loss (2) with arbitrary  $\delta_0$  (e.g.,  $\delta_0(x) = \delta_{mle}(x) = \frac{x}{\alpha}$ ). With conjugate prior  $\pi$  such that  $\lambda = \theta^{-1} \sim \text{Gamma}(\gamma, \frac{1}{h})$ ;  $\gamma > -\alpha, h \geq 0$ ; and with the posterior distribution of  $\theta^{-1}$  being  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + \gamma, \frac{1}{h+x})$ ; the unique Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  under entropy balanced loss (2) is:

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) \frac{h + x}{\alpha + \gamma - 1}.$$

**Example 3** (Stein loss with  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = (\frac{\delta}{\theta})^{\beta} - \beta \log \frac{\delta}{\theta} - 1$ ;  $\beta \neq 0$ ). This class of losses, which includes Example 2's loss (i.e.,  $\beta = 1$ ), as well as Stein's loss (i.e.,  $\beta = -1$ ) illustrates well Lemma 1. Under loss  $L_0$ , we have the Bayes solution  $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = (E_{\pi}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x))^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$  (subject to risk finiteness conditions). Lemma 1 tells us that  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = (E_{\pi^*}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x))^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} = \left\{ \frac{\omega}{(\delta_0(x))^{\beta}} + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ .

**Example 4** (Case with  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = (\frac{\theta}{\delta} - 1)^2$ ). Under loss  $L_0$ , for a prior  $\pi$  supported on  $(0, \infty)$ , we have the Bayes solution  $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x)}{E_{\pi}(\theta|x)}$  (subject to the finiteness condition  $E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x) < \infty$ , for all  $x$ ). Lemma 1 tells us that  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi^*}(\theta^2|x)}{E_{\pi^*}(\theta|x)} = \frac{\omega \delta_0^2(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x)}{\omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta|x)}$ . Rodrigues and Zellner (1994) introduced and studied Bayesian estimators under such a loss function for a least square  $\delta_0$  and an exponential model.

**Example 5** (Linear loss with  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = e^{a(\delta - \theta)} - a(\delta - \theta) - 1$ ;  $a \neq 0$ ; (e.g., Zellner, 1986; Parsian and Kirmani, 2002)). Under loss  $L_0$ , we have the Bayes solution  $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log E_{\pi}(e^{-a\theta}|x)$  (subject to risk finiteness conditions). Hence, using Lemma 1, we have  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log E_{\pi^*}(e^{-a\theta}|x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log(\omega e^{-a\delta_0(x)} + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(e^{-a\theta}|x)) = -\frac{1}{a} \log(\omega e^{-a\delta_0(x)} + (1 - \omega) e^{-a\delta_{0, \pi}(x)})$ .

The following development concerns intrinsic balanced loss functions, where  $\rho$  in (1) is derived in some automated manner from the model. For a general reference on intrinsic losses and additional details we refer to Robert (1996).

**Example 6** (*Intrinsic balanced loss functions*). For a model  $X|\theta \sim f(x|\theta)$ , the choice  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = d(f(\cdot|\theta), f(\cdot|\delta))$  in (1); i.e., the distance between  $f(\cdot|\theta)$  and  $f(\cdot|\delta)$ ; where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a suitable distance function, leads to intrinsic balanced loss functions of the form,

$$\omega d(f(\cdot|\delta_0), f(\cdot|\delta)) + (1 - \omega)d(f(\cdot|\theta), f(\cdot|\delta)). \quad (3)$$

Two candidates of interest for  $d$  in (3) are Hellinger and Kullback-Leibler distance leading to:

- *Kullback-Leibler Balanced Loss,*

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^{KL}(\theta, \delta) = \omega E_{\delta_0} \left[ \text{Ln} \frac{f(X|\delta_0)}{f(X|\delta)} \right] + (1 - \omega) E_{\theta} \left[ \text{Ln} \frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\delta)} \right]; \quad (4)$$

- *Hellinger Balanced Loss,*

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^H(\theta, \delta) = \omega \frac{1}{2} E_{\delta_0} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\delta_0)}} - 1 \right)^2 \right] + (1 - \omega) \frac{1}{2} E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\theta)}} - 1 \right)^2 \right]; \quad (5)$$

or equivalently,

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^H(\theta, \delta) = 1 - \omega E_{\delta_0} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\delta_0)}} \right] - (1 - \omega) E_{\theta} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\theta)}} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Hence, with known Bayesian representations in the unbalanced case ; i.e.,  $\omega = 0$ ; (e.g., Brown, 1986; Robert, 1996) and with Lemma 1, we can build a catalog of Bayesian representations for losses of the type  $L^{KL}$  and  $L^H$ . For instance, natural parameter exponential family of distributions with densities  $f(x|\theta) = e^{\theta T(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x)$  (with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ ), and unknown natural parameter  $\theta$ , lead to Kullback-Leibler balanced loss functions:

$$\omega [(\delta_0 - \delta)\psi'(\delta_0) + \psi(\delta) - \psi(\delta_0)] + (1 - \omega) [(\theta - \delta)\psi'(\theta) + \psi(\delta) - \psi(\theta)]. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, under  $L_0$  (i.e.,  $\omega = 0$  in (7)), and for prior  $\pi$ , the Bayes estimator of  $\theta$  is given as a solution of  $\psi'(\delta_{0,\pi}(x)) = E_{\pi}[\psi'(\theta)|x]$  in  $\delta_{0,\pi}(x)$ . Hence, together with Lemma 1, we have that the Bayes estimator  $\delta_{\omega,\pi}(x)$  admits the following representation

$$\psi'(\delta_{\omega,\pi}(x)) = \omega \psi'(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega) \psi'(\delta_{0,\pi}(x)),$$

with the special case of estimating  $E_\theta[T(X)] = \psi'(\theta)$  (as for  $X \sim N(\theta, 1)$ ,  $T(X) = X$ ), yielding  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega\delta_0(x) + (1-\omega)\delta_{0, \pi}(x)$ ; i.e., a convex linear combination of  $\delta_0$  and the Bayes estimator  $\delta_{0, \pi}(x)$ . Also, it is easy to see that for natural exponential family of distributions as above, Hellinger balanced loss in (6) reduces to:

$$1 - \omega e^{\left\{ \psi\left(\frac{\delta_0 + \delta}{2}\right) - \frac{\psi(\delta_0) + \psi(\delta)}{2} \right\}} - (1 - \omega) e^{\left\{ \psi\left(\frac{\theta + \delta}{2}\right) - \frac{\psi(\theta) + \psi(\delta)}{2} \right\}}. \quad (8)$$

For instance, a  $N(\theta, 1)$  model leads to Hellinger balanced loss in (8) given by

$$1 - \omega e^{-\frac{(\delta_0 - \delta)^2}{8}} - (1 - \omega) e^{-\frac{(\theta - \delta)^2}{8}},$$

and which we can refer to as a Balanced bounded reflected normal loss (e.g., Spiring (1993) for the case  $\omega = 0$ ).

**Remark 3** In Example 2, loss (2) is equivalent to Kullback-Leibler balanced loss (7) for a Gamma( $\alpha, \theta$ ) model with known  $\alpha > 0$ , and unknown scale parameter  $\theta$  (see also Parsian and Nematollahi, 1996; Robert, 1996).

**Example 7** (Balanced absolute loss or  $L_1$  loss). The choice  $\rho(\theta, \delta) = |\delta - \theta|$  in (1) leads to balanced  $L_1$  loss:

$$\omega|\delta - \delta_0| + (1 - \omega)|\delta - \theta|. \quad (9)$$

Since Bayesian solutions associated with  $L_1$  losses are posterior medians, Lemma 1 tells us that the Bayes estimate of  $\theta$ ,  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$ , with respect to loss (9) and priors  $\pi$  is a median of  $\pi^*(\theta|x)$ , for all  $x$ . Working now with priors  $\pi$  and models  $f(\cdot|\theta)$  leading to unique posterior medians, setting  $\alpha(x) = (1 - \omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq \delta_0(x)|x)$  and  $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}$  as the inverse posterior cdf, it is easy to see that for  $\omega \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \delta_0(x)$ , (i.e., the point mass of  $\delta_0(x)$  has large enough probability to force the median to be  $\delta_0(x)$ ), while for  $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$  a straightforward evaluation leads to:

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - 2\omega}{2(1 - \omega)}\right)1_{[0, \frac{1}{2} - \omega]}(\alpha(x)) + \delta_0(x)1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \omega, \frac{1}{2}]}(\alpha(x)) + F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1 - \omega)}\right)1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(\alpha(x)), \quad (10)$$

for all  $x$ . Now, we give some applications of (10),

(A) (Case of a positive normal mean). Let  $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$  with  $\theta \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma^2$  known, and cdf  $F_0$ . Decision theoretic elements concerning the estimation of  $\theta$  have a long history (e.g.,

see Marchand and Strawderman, 2004, for a review). Here, take  $\delta_0(x) = \delta_{mle}(x) = \max(0, x)$  and  $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$  in (9), and consider the estimator  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)$  associated with the flat prior  $\pi(\theta) = 1_{(0, \infty)}(\theta)$ . In evaluating (10), we have: **(i)**  $P_\pi(\theta \geq y|x) = \frac{F_0(x-y)}{F_0(x)}$  for  $y \geq 0$ ; **(ii)**  $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}(z) = x - F_0^{-1}((1-z)F_0(x))$ , and **(iii)**  $\alpha(x) = (1-\omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq \delta_0(x)|x) = (1-\omega)(1 - \frac{1}{2F_0(x)})1_{(0, \infty)}(x)$ . Now, since  $\alpha(x) < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $x \leq F_0^{-1}(1-\omega)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) &= F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right)1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x) + \delta_0(x)1_{[F_0^{-1}(1-\omega), \infty)}(x) \\ &= \left\{x - F_0^{-1}\left(\frac{F_0(x)}{2(1-\omega)}\right)\right\}1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x) + x1_{[F_0^{-1}(1-\omega), \infty)}(x) \\ &= x - \left\{F_0^{-1}\left(\frac{F_0(x)}{2(1-\omega)}\right)1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the expression holds for the unbalanced case as well (i.e.,  $\omega = 0$ ), while a similar development holds for models  $X|\theta \sim f_0(x - \theta)$  with  $\theta \geq 0$ , and known and symmetric  $f_0$ .

(B) Let  $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ;  $\theta \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\sigma^2$  known; with cdf  $\Phi(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma})$ . Using conjugate prior  $\theta \sim \pi(\theta) = N(\mu, \tau^2)$ ; known  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$ ; and balanced  $L_1$  loss in (9) with  $\delta_0(X) = X$ ,  $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$ , and since the posterior  $\theta|x \sim N(\frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}x + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}\mu, \frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2})$ , we have  $\alpha(x) = (1-\omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq x|x) = (1-\omega)\Phi(d(x-\mu))$ ; with  $d = \frac{\sigma}{\tau\sqrt{\tau^2+\sigma^2}}$ . With  $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}(\alpha) = \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}x + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}\mu + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ , an evaluation of (10) leads to the piecewise linear estimators

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right) & \text{if } x \leq \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right) \\ x & \text{if } x \in \left(\mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right), \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right)\right) \\ \frac{x\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right) & \text{if } x \geq \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right). \end{cases}$$

### 3 CONCLUDING REMARKS

The findings here do permit us in many cases (unique Bayes, proper priors and finite Bayes risk) to draw inferences on the admissibility of resulting Bayesian estimators  $\delta_{\omega, \pi}$ , as given by Lemma 1 under balanced loss  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ . However, a general admissibility and dominance connection between losses  $L_0$  and  $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ , such as the one known for squared error  $\rho$ , is lacking and worth further investigation. In this regard, we do wish to point out (following a suggestion by Alexandre Leblanc) that most of the general results in Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006) (i.e, Lemma 1, 2; Corollary 1,2; Theorem 1) hold under the following modification of squared error loss :  $\omega q(\delta_0)(\delta - \delta_0)^2 + (1 - \omega)q(\delta_0)(\delta - \theta)^2$ .

## Acknowledgements

We thank Alexandre Leblanc for his suggestions as referred to in Section 3.

## REFERENCES

- Brown, L.D. (1986). *Foundations of Exponential Families*. IMS Lecture Notes, Monograph Series **9**, Hayward, California.
- Dey, D., Ghosh, M., Strawderman, W.E. (1999). *On estimation with balanced loss functions*. Statistics & Probability Letters, **45**, 97-101.
- Gómez-Déniz, E. (2006). *On the use of the weighted balanced loss function to obtain credibility premiums*. International Conference of Mathematical and Statistical Modelling in Honor of Enrique Castillo. June 28-30.
- Jafari Jozani, M., Marchand, E., Parsian, A. (2006). *On estimation with weighted balanced-type loss function*. Statistics & Probability Letters, **76**, 773-780.
- Marchand, E., Strawderman, W.E. (2004). *Estimation in restricted parameter spaces: A review*. A Festschrift for Herman Rubin, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series 45, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, California, 21-44.
- Parsian, A., and Kirmani, S. N. U. A. (2002). *Estimation under LINEX loss function*. Handbook of applied econometrics and statistical inference, Statistics Textbooks Monographs, 53-76.
- Parsian, A., Nematollahi, N. (1996). *Estimation of scale parameter under entropy loss function*. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, **52**, 77-91.
- Robert, C. P. (1996). *Intrinsic loss functions*. Theory and Decision, **40**, 192-214.
- Rodrigues, J., Zellner, A. (1994). *Weighted balanced loss function and estimation of the mean time to failure*. Communications in Statistics: Theory and Methods, **23**, 3609-3616.
- Spiring, F. A. (1993). *The reflected normal loss function*. Canadian Journal of Statistics, **21**, 321.

Zellner, A. (1986). *Bayesian estimation and prediction using asymmetric loss functions*.  
Journal of the American Statistical Association, **81**, 446-451.

Zellner, A. (1994). *Bayesian and Non-Bayesian estimation using balanced loss functions*.  
Statistical Decision Theory and Methods V, (J.O. Berger and S.S. Gupta Eds). New  
York: Springer-Verlag, 337-390.