

BAYES ESTIMATION UNDER A GENERAL CLASS OF BALANCED LOSS FUNCTIONS

MOHAMMAD JAFARI JOZANI,^{a,1} ERIC MARCHAND,^{a,2} AHMAD PARSIAN,^{b,3}

*a Université de Sherbrooke, Département de mathématiques, Sherbrooke, QC, CANADA,
J1K 2R1*

*b School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Tehran, Tehran,
IRAN*

ABSTRACT

For estimating an unknown parameter θ , we introduce and motivate the use of balanced loss functions of the form $L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}(\theta, \delta) = \omega\rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega)\rho(\theta, \delta)$, as well as weighted versions $q(\theta)L_{\rho,\omega,\delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$, with $q(\cdot)$ being a positive weight function, where $\rho(\theta, \delta)$ is an arbitrary loss function, δ_0 is a chosen a priori “target” estimator of θ , and the weight ω takes values in $[0, 1)$. A general development with regards to Bayesian estimators under L_{ρ,ω,δ_0} is given, namely by relating such estimators to Bayesian solutions for the unbalanced case, i.e., L_{ρ,ω,δ_0} with $\omega = 0$. Illustrations are given for various choices of ρ , such as for absolute value, entropy, linex, intrinsic (i.e., model based), and a generalization of squared error losses.

Keywords and phrases: Balanced loss function; Bayes estimator; entropy loss; intrinsic loss; Linex loss

MSC: 62C05; 62C10; 62F10; 62F30

¹ e-mail: Mohammad.Jafari.Jozani@Usherbrooke.ca

²Research supported by NSERC of Canada (corresponding author; e-mail: eric.marchand@usherbrooke.ca)

³Research supported by a grant of the Research Council of the University of Tehran. (e-mail: ahmad_p@khayam.ut.ac.ir)

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns Bayesian point estimation of an unknown parameter θ of a model $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim F_\theta$, under “Balanced” loss functions of the form:

$$L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta) = \omega \rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega) \rho(\theta, \delta), \quad (1)$$

as well as weighted versions $q(\theta)L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$, with $q(\cdot)$ being a positive weight function (see Remark 2). Here $\rho(\theta, \delta)$ is an arbitrary loss function, while δ_0 is a chosen a priori “target” estimator of θ , obtained for instance from the criterion of maximum likelihood estimator, least-squares, or unbiasedness among others. Loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$, which depends on the observed value of $\delta_0(X)$, reflects a desire of closeness of δ to both: **(i)** the target estimator δ_0 , and **(ii)** the unknown parameter θ ; with the relative importance of these criteria governed by the choice of $\omega \in [0, 1)$.

Loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ may be viewed as a natural extension to Zellner’s (1994) balanced loss function (in one dimension), the latter being specific to a squared error loss ρ and a least-squares δ_0 . Subsequent findings, due to Dey, Ghosh and Strawderman (1999), as well as Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006); who also study the use of more general target estimators δ_0 ; involve the issues of Bayesianity, admissibility, dominance, and minimaxity and demonstrate how results for unbalanced ($\omega = 0$) squared error loss serve directly (and necessarily) in obtaining results for the balanced case with $\omega > 0$.

Here we give a general Bayesian estimation connection (Lemma 1) between the cases $\omega = 0$ and $\omega > 0$. This useful connection is quite general, especially with respect to the choices of ρ and δ_0 . Illustrations are given for various choices of ρ , such as for absolute value, entropy, linex, intrinsic (i.e., model based), and a generalization of squared error losses. We conclude with a discussion of ongoing related work.

2 BAYES ESTIMATION UNDER BALANCED LOSS

We consider here Bayes estimation under balanced loss function $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ as in (1). When $\omega = 0$, we simply use L_0 instead of $L_{\rho, 0, \delta_0}$ unless we want to emphasize the role of ρ . We show how the Bayes estimator of θ under balanced loss function $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ can be derived or expressed in terms of a Bayes estimator of θ under the loss L_0 . We assume throughout

necessary conditions for finite expected posterior loss. In particular, we work with ρ and δ_0 such that $\rho(\delta, \delta_0) < \infty$ for at least one $\delta \neq \delta_0$.

Lemma 1 *For estimating θ under balanced loss function $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ in (1) and for a prior $\pi(\theta)$, the Bayes estimator $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$ corresponds to the Bayes solution $\delta^*(X)$ with respect to $(\pi^*(\theta|x), L_0)$, for all x , where*

$$\pi^*(\theta|x) = \omega 1_{\{\delta_0(x)\}}(\theta) + (1 - \omega)\pi(\theta|x),$$

i.e., a mixture of a point mass at $\delta_0(x)$ and the posterior $\pi(\theta|x)$.

Proof: Denoting $\mu_X(\cdot)$ and $\nu_X(\cdot)$ as dominating measures of $\pi(\theta|x)$ and $\pi^*(\theta|x)$ respectively, we have by definition of $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)$, $\delta^*(x)$, and L_0

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta} \int_{\Theta} \{\omega \rho(\delta_0, \delta) + (1 - \omega)\rho(\theta, \delta)\} \pi(\theta|x) d\mu_X(\theta) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta} \int_{\Theta \cup \{\delta_0(x)\}} L_0(\theta, \delta) \pi^*(\theta|x) d\nu_X(\theta) = \delta^*(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1 *Strictly speaking, $\delta^*(X)$ is not a Bayes estimator under loss L_0 (because $\pi^*(\theta|x)$ is not the posterior associated with π), while $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$ is indeed Bayes under loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ and prior π .*

Remark 2 *Lemma 1 also applies for weighted versions of balanced loss function (1), i.e., $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}^q(\theta, \delta) = q(\theta)L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$, with $q(\theta)$ being a positive weight function. Indeed, it is easy to see that the Bayes estimator of θ under loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}^q$ using the prior π_0 is equivalent to the Bayes estimator of θ under loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$ using prior $\pi \equiv q \times \pi_0$.*

We pursue with various applications of Lemma 1.

Example 1 *(A generalization of squared error loss). In (1), the choice $\rho(\theta, \delta) = \rho_1(\theta, \delta) = \tau(\theta)(\delta - \theta)^2$, with $\tau(\cdot) > 0$, leads to loss $\omega\tau(\delta_0)(\delta - \delta_0)^2 + (1 - \omega)\tau(\theta)(\delta - \theta)^2$. Since under L_0 and a prior π , the Bayes estimator is given by $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{E_{\pi}(\tau(\theta)|x)}$ (subject to the finiteness conditions $E_{\pi}(\theta^i\tau(\theta)|x) < \infty$; $i = 0, 1$; for all x), Lemma 1 tells us that*

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi^*}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{E_{\pi^*}(\tau(\theta)|x)} = \frac{\omega\delta_0(x)\tau(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\theta\tau(\theta)|x)}{\omega\tau(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\tau(\theta)|x)}.$$

The case ($\tau(\theta) = 1$, $\delta_0 =$ least square estimator) leads to balanced squared error loss (and $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega\delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega)E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$) as introduced by Zellner (1994), and as further analyzed by Dey, Ghosh and Strawderman (1999). The case $L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ with $\tau(\theta) = 1$ and

arbitrary δ_0 , as well as its weighted version $q(\theta)L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$, were investigated by Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006) with respect to classical decision theory criteria such as Bayesianity, dominance, admissibility, and minimarity. Gómez-Déniz (2006) investigates the use of $q(\theta)L_{\rho_1, \omega, \delta_0}(\theta, \delta)$ with $\tau(\theta) = 1$ to credibility premiums.

Example 2 (Entropy balanced loss). The choice $\rho(\theta, \delta) = \frac{\theta}{\delta} - \log \frac{\theta}{\delta} - 1$ in (1) leads to loss:

$$\omega \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta} - \log \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta} \right) - 1 \right) + (1 - \omega) \left(\frac{\theta}{\delta} - \log \left(\frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) - 1 \right). \quad (2)$$

Since under L_0 (i.e., $\omega = 0$ in (2)), and a prior π , the Bayes solution is given by $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$ (subject to the finiteness conditions: $E_{\pi}(\theta|x) < \infty$ and $E_{\pi}(\log(\theta)|x) < \infty$, for all x). Lemma 1 tells us that $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = E_{\pi^*}(\theta|x) = \omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta|x)$. As a special example, take the model $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$; α known; $\theta > 0$; (density proportional to $x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\theta}$); and consider estimating θ under loss (2) with arbitrary δ_0 (e.g., $\delta_0(x) = \delta_{mle}(x) = \frac{x}{\alpha}$). With conjugate prior π such that $\lambda = \theta^{-1} \sim \text{Gamma}(\gamma, \frac{1}{h})$; $\gamma > -\alpha, h \geq 0$; and with the posterior distribution of θ^{-1} being $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + \gamma, \frac{1}{h+x})$; the unique Bayes estimator of θ under entropy balanced loss (2) is:

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) \frac{h + x}{\alpha + \gamma - 1}.$$

Example 3 (Stein loss with $\rho(\theta, \delta) = (\frac{\delta}{\theta})^{\beta} - \beta \log \frac{\delta}{\theta} - 1$; $\beta \neq 0$). This class of losses, which includes Example 2's loss (i.e., $\beta = 1$), as well as Stein's loss (i.e., $\beta = -1$) illustrates well Lemma 1. Under loss L_0 , we have the Bayes solution $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = (E_{\pi}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x))^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ (subject to risk finiteness conditions). Lemma 1 tells us that $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = (E_{\pi^*}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x))^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} = \left\{ \frac{\omega}{(\delta_0(x))^{\beta}} + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\frac{1}{\theta^{\beta}}|x) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$.

Example 4 (Case with $\rho(\theta, \delta) = (\frac{\theta}{\delta} - 1)^2$). Under loss L_0 , for a prior π supported on $(0, \infty)$, we have the Bayes solution $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x)}{E_{\pi}(\theta|x)}$ (subject to the finiteness condition $E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x) < \infty$, for all x). Lemma 1 tells us that $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \frac{E_{\pi^*}(\theta^2|x)}{E_{\pi^*}(\theta|x)} = \frac{\omega \delta_0^2(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta^2|x)}{\omega \delta_0(x) + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(\theta|x)}$. Rodrigues and Zellner (1994) introduced and studied Bayesian estimators under such a loss function for a least square δ_0 and an exponential model.

Example 5 (Linex loss with $\rho(\theta, \delta) = e^{a(\delta - \theta)} - a(\delta - \theta) - 1$; $a \neq 0$; (e.g., Zellner, 1986; Parsian and Kirmani, 2002)). Under loss L_0 , we have the Bayes solution $\delta_{0, \pi}(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log E_{\pi}(e^{-a\theta}|x)$ (subject to risk finiteness conditions). Hence, using Lemma 1, we have $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log E_{\pi^*}(e^{-a\theta}|x) = -\frac{1}{a} \log(\omega e^{-a\delta_0(x)} + (1 - \omega) E_{\pi}(e^{-a\theta}|x)) = -\frac{1}{a} \log(\omega e^{-a\delta_0(x)} + (1 - \omega) e^{-a\delta_{0, \pi}(x)})$.

The following development concerns intrinsic balanced loss functions, where ρ in (1) is derived in some automated manner from the model. For a general reference on intrinsic losses and additional details we refer to Robert (1996).

Example 6 (*Intrinsic balanced loss functions*). For a model $X|\theta \sim f(x|\theta)$, the choice $\rho(\theta, \delta) = d(f(\cdot|\theta), f(\cdot|\delta))$ in (1); i.e., the distance between $f(\cdot|\theta)$ and $f(\cdot|\delta)$; where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a suitable distance function, leads to intrinsic balanced loss functions of the form,

$$\omega d(f(\cdot|\delta_0), f(\cdot|\delta)) + (1 - \omega)d(f(\cdot|\theta), f(\cdot|\delta)). \quad (3)$$

Two candidates of interest for d in (3) are Hellinger and Kullback-Leibler distance leading to:

- *Kullback-Leibler Balanced Loss,*

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^{KL}(\theta, \delta) = \omega E_{\delta_0} \left[\text{Ln} \frac{f(X|\delta_0)}{f(X|\delta)} \right] + (1 - \omega) E_{\theta} \left[\text{Ln} \frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\delta)} \right]; \quad (4)$$

- *Hellinger Balanced Loss,*

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^H(\theta, \delta) = \omega \frac{1}{2} E_{\delta_0} \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\delta_0)}} - 1 \right)^2 \right] + (1 - \omega) \frac{1}{2} E_{\theta} \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\theta)}} - 1 \right)^2 \right]; \quad (5)$$

or equivalently,

$$L_{\omega, \delta_0}^H(\theta, \delta) = 1 - \omega E_{\delta_0} \left[\sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\delta_0)}} \right] - (1 - \omega) E_{\theta} \left[\sqrt{\frac{f(X|\delta)}{f(X|\theta)}} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Hence, with known Bayesian representations in the unbalanced case ; i.e., $\omega = 0$; (e.g., Brown, 1986; Robert, 1996) and with Lemma 1, we can build a catalog of Bayesian representations for losses of the type L^{KL} and L^H . For instance, natural parameter exponential family of distributions with densities $f(x|\theta) = e^{\theta T(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x)$ (with respect to a σ -finite measure ν on \mathcal{X}), and unknown natural parameter θ , lead to Kullback-Leibler balanced loss functions:

$$\omega [(\delta_0 - \delta)\psi'(\delta_0) + \psi(\delta) - \psi(\delta_0)] + (1 - \omega) [(\theta - \delta)\psi'(\theta) + \psi(\delta) - \psi(\theta)]. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, under L_0 (i.e., $\omega = 0$ in (7)), and for prior π , the Bayes estimator of θ is given as a solution of $\psi'(\delta_{0, \pi}(x)) = E_{\pi}[\psi'(\theta)|x]$ in $\delta_{0, \pi}(x)$. Hence, together with Lemma 1, we have that the Bayes estimator $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)$ admits the following representation

$$\psi'(\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)) = \omega \psi'(\delta_0(x)) + (1 - \omega) \psi'(\delta_{0, \pi}(x)),$$

with the special case of estimating $E_\theta[T(X)] = \psi'(\theta)$ (as for $X \sim N(\theta, 1)$, $T(X) = X$), yielding $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \omega\delta_0(x) + (1-\omega)\delta_{0, \pi}(x)$; i.e., a convex linear combination of δ_0 and the Bayes estimator $\delta_{0, \pi}(x)$. Also, it is easy to see that for natural exponential family of distributions as above, Hellinger balanced loss in (6) reduces to:

$$1 - \omega e^{\left\{ \psi\left(\frac{\delta_0 + \delta}{2}\right) - \frac{\psi(\delta_0) + \psi(\delta)}{2} \right\}} - (1 - \omega) e^{\left\{ \psi\left(\frac{\theta + \delta}{2}\right) - \frac{\psi(\theta) + \psi(\delta)}{2} \right\}}. \quad (8)$$

For instance, a $N(\theta, 1)$ model leads to Hellinger balanced loss in (8) given by

$$1 - \omega e^{-\frac{(\delta_0 - \delta)^2}{8}} - (1 - \omega) e^{-\frac{(\theta - \delta)^2}{8}},$$

and which we can refer to as a Balanced bounded reflected normal loss (e.g., Spiring (1993) for the case $\omega = 0$).

Remark 3 In Example 2, loss (2) is equivalent to Kullback-Leibler balanced loss (7) for a Gamma(α, θ) model with known $\alpha > 0$, and unknown scale parameter θ (see also Parsian and Nematollahi, 1996; Robert, 1996).

Example 7 (Balanced absolute loss or L_1 loss). The choice $\rho(\theta, \delta) = |\delta - \theta|$ in (1) leads to balanced L_1 loss:

$$\omega|\delta - \delta_0| + (1 - \omega)|\delta - \theta|. \quad (9)$$

Since Bayesian solutions associated with L_1 losses are posterior medians, Lemma 1 tells us that the Bayes estimate of θ , $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(X)$, with respect to loss (9) and priors π is a median of $\pi^*(\theta|x)$, for all x . Working now with priors π and models $f(\cdot|\theta)$ leading to unique posterior medians, setting $\alpha(x) = (1 - \omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq \delta_0(x)|x)$ and $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}$ as the inverse posterior cdf, it is easy to see that for $\omega \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \delta_0(x)$, (i.e., the point mass of $\delta_0(x)$ has large enough probability to force the median to be $\delta_0(x)$), while for $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$ a straightforward evaluation leads to:

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - 2\omega}{2(1 - \omega)}\right)1_{[0, \frac{1}{2} - \omega]}(\alpha(x)) + \delta_0(x)1_{[\frac{1}{2} - \omega, \frac{1}{2}]}(\alpha(x)) + F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1 - \omega)}\right)1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(\alpha(x)), \quad (10)$$

for all x . Now, we give some applications of (10),

(A) (Case of a positive normal mean). Let $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ with $\theta \geq 0$, σ^2 known, and cdf F_0 . Decision theoretic elements concerning the estimation of θ have a long history (e.g.,

see Marchand and Strawderman, 2004, for a review). Here, take $\delta_0(x) = \delta_{mle}(x) = \max(0, x)$ and $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$ in (9), and consider the estimator $\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x)$ associated with the flat prior $\pi(\theta) = 1_{(0, \infty)}(\theta)$. In evaluating (10), we have: (i) $P_\pi(\theta \geq y|x) = \frac{F_0(x-y)}{F_0(x)}$ for $y \geq 0$; (ii) $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}(z) = x - F_0^{-1}((1-z)F_0(x))$, and (iii) $\alpha(x) = (1-\omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq \delta_0(x)|x) = (1-\omega)(1 - \frac{1}{2F_0(x)})1_{(0, \infty)}(x)$. Now, since $\alpha(x) < \frac{1}{2}$, and $x \leq F_0^{-1}(1-\omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) &= F_{\theta|x}^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right)1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x) + \delta_0(x)1_{[F_0^{-1}(1-\omega), \infty)}(x) \\ &= \left\{x - F_0^{-1}\left(\frac{F_0(x)}{2(1-\omega)}\right)\right\}1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x) + x1_{[F_0^{-1}(1-\omega), \infty)}(x) \\ &= x - \left\{F_0^{-1}\left(\frac{F_0(x)}{2(1-\omega)}\right)1_{(-\infty, F_0^{-1}(1-\omega))}(x)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the expression holds for the unbalanced case as well (i.e., $\omega = 0$), while a similar development holds for models $X|\theta \sim f_0(x - \theta)$ with $\theta \geq 0$, and known and symmetric f_0 .

(B) Let $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$; $\theta \in \mathfrak{R}$, σ^2 known; with cdf $\Phi(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma})$. Using conjugate prior $\theta \sim \pi(\theta) = N(\mu, \tau^2)$; known μ and τ^2 ; and balanced L_1 loss in (9) with $\delta_0(X) = X$, $\omega < \frac{1}{2}$, and since the posterior $\theta|x \sim N(\frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}x + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}\mu, \frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2})$, we have $\alpha(x) = (1-\omega)P_\pi(\theta \leq x|x) = (1-\omega)\Phi(d(x-\mu))$; with $d = \frac{\sigma}{\tau\sqrt{\tau^2+\sigma^2}}$. With $F_{\theta|x}^{-1}(\alpha) = \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}x + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}\mu + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2+\sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$, an evaluation of (10) leads to the piecewise linear estimators

$$\delta_{\omega, \pi}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right) & \text{if } x \leq \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right) \\ x & \text{if } x \in \left(\mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1-2\omega}{2(1-\omega)}\right), \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right)\right) \\ \frac{x\tau^2 + \mu\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right) & \text{if } x \geq \mu + \frac{1}{d}\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\omega)}\right). \end{cases}$$

3 CONCLUDING REMARKS

The findings here do permit us in many cases (unique Bayes, proper priors and finite Bayes risk) to draw inferences on the admissibility of resulting Bayesian estimators $\delta_{\omega, \pi}$, as given by Lemma 1 under balanced loss $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$. However, a general admissibility and dominance connection between losses L_0 and $L_{\rho, \omega, \delta_0}$, such as the one known for squared error ρ , is lacking and worth further investigation. In this regard, we do wish to point out (following a suggestion by Alexandre Leblanc) that most of the general results in Jafari Jozani, Marchand and Parsian (2006) (i.e, Lemma 1, 2; Corollary 1,2; Theorem 1) hold under the following modification of squared error loss : $\omega q(\delta_0)(\delta - \delta_0)^2 + (1 - \omega)q(\delta_0)(\delta - \theta)^2$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alexandre Leblanc for his suggestions as referred to in Section 3.

REFERENCES

- Brown, L.D. (1986). *Foundations of Exponential Families*. IMS Lecture Notes, Monograph Series **9**, Hayward, California.
- Dey, D., Ghosh, M., Strawderman, W.E. (1999). *On estimation with balanced loss functions*. Statistics & Probability Letters, **45**, 97-101.
- Gómez-Déniz, E. (2006). *On the use of the weighted balanced loss function to obtain credibility premiums*. International Conference of Mathematical and Statistical Modelling in Honor of Enrique Castillo. June 28-30.
- Jafari Jozani, M., Marchand, E., Parsian, A. (2006). *On estimation with weighted balanced-type loss function*. Statistics & Probability Letters, **76**, 773-780.
- Marchand, E., Strawderman, W.E. (2004). *Estimation in restricted parameter spaces: A review*. A Festschrift for Herman Rubin, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series 45, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, California, 21-44.
- Parsian, A., and Kirmani, S. N. U. A. (2002). *Estimation under LINEX loss function*. Handbook of applied econometrics and statistical inference, Statistics Textbooks Monographs, 53-76.
- Parsian, A., Nematollahi, N. (1996). *Estimation of scale parameter under entropy loss function*. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, **52**, 77-91.
- Robert, C. P. (1996). *Intrinsic loss functions*. Theory and Decision, **40**, 192-214.
- Rodrigues, J., Zellner, A. (1994). *Weighted balanced loss function and estimation of the mean time to failure*. Communications in Statistics: Theory and Methods, **23**, 3609-3616.
- Spiring, F. A. (1993). *The reflected normal loss function*. Canadian Journal of Statistics, **21**, 321.

Zellner, A. (1986). *Bayesian estimation and prediction using asymmetric loss functions*.
Journal of the American Statistical Association, **81**, 446-451.

Zellner, A. (1994). *Bayesian and Non-Bayesian estimation using balanced loss functions*.
Statistical Decision Theory and Methods V, (J.O. Berger and S.S. Gupta Eds). New
York: Springer-Verlag, 337-390.