

ON MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH PERIODIC POTENTIAL

CYRIL JOËL BATKAM AND FABRICE COLIN

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the semilinear Schrödinger equation $(*) -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u)$, $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where V and f are periodic in the x -variables, f is a superlinear and subcritical nonlinearity, and 0 lies in a spectral gap of $-\Delta u + V$. It is shown that, if f is odd in u then $(*)$ has infinitely many solutions. The proof relies on an infinite-dimensional Fountain Theorem without (PS) -type assumption, established in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Our assumptions on f and V stated below imply that the solutions of (1) are critical points of a \mathcal{C}^1 functional defined on the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with a strongly indefinite quadratic part. We are interested in multiplicity of solutions of (1) without the following superquadraticity condition due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1]:

$$\exists \mu > 2 \text{ such that } 0 < \mu F(x, u) \leq u f(x, u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2)$$

where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(s, u) ds$. It is well known that the role of (2) is to insure the boundedness of all Palais-Smale sequences of the associated energy functional, and without (2) it becomes more complicated. However, there are many functions which are superlinear but do not satisfy (2) (see [2] and [6]).

In this paper, by combining the ideas in [2] and [5], we establish an infinite-dimensional Fountain Theorem without the usual Palais-Smale compactness condition. The main ingredients are the degree theory of Kryszewski and Szulkin [15], and the monotonicity method introduced by Struwe in [13] and [14], and developed by Jeanjean in [10]. By taking advantage of our abstract result, we study the existence of solutions of (1) under the following conditions:

- (f₁) The function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and 1-periodic with respect to each variable $x_j, j = 1, \dots, N$.
- (f₂) There is a constant $c > 0$ such that $|f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{p-1})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where $p > 2$ for $N = 1, 2$ and $2 < p < 2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$.
- (f₃) $f(x, u) = o(u)$ uniformly with respect to x as $|u| \rightarrow 0$.
- (f₄) $\frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x , where $\mu > 2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35A02 Secondary 35J50 ; 35J60 .

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Kryszewski-Szulkin degree; Critical points.

- (f₅) $u \mapsto \frac{f(x,u)}{|u|}$ is strictly increasing in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
(f₆) The function $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and 1-periodic with respect to each variable $x_j, j = 1, \dots, N$, and 0 lies in a gap of the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$.
(f₇) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, -u) = -f(x, u)$.

The periodicity conditions on f and V imply that if u is a solution of (1), then so is $g * u$ for every $g \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $g * u(x) := u(x + g)$. Two solutions u and v are said to be geometrically distinct if $\{g * u/g \in \mathbb{Z}^N\} \cap \{g * v/g \in \mathbb{Z}^N\} = \emptyset$.

We have the following result:

Theorem 1. *Assume (f₁) – (f₇). Then problem (1) has infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions.*

There is a number of papers dealing with problem (1) when 0 lies in a spectral gap of the operator $-\Delta + V$ (see for instance [3], [4], [5] or [9]). Theorem 1 was first proved by Szulkin and Weth in [4], by reducing the indefinite variational problem to a definite one. In [3] and [5] the authors obtained infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions, however the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (2) was used. In [8], by using the monotonicity method and a critical point theory developed in [12], and without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Zhao et al. obtained infinitely many solutions for the following system

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = g(x, v), \quad -\Delta v + V(x)v = f(x, u), \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, v(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

provided f and g are odd nonlinearities.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state and prove an infinite-dimension variant of the fountain theorem, and in section 3 we prove Theorem 1.

2. ABSTRACT RESULT

Let Y be a closed separable subspace of a Hilbert space $(X, (\cdot, \cdot), \|\cdot\|)$ such that $Z := Y^\perp = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty \mathbb{R}e^j}$ with $\|e^j\| = 1$, and let $P : X \rightarrow Y$ and $Q : X \rightarrow Z$ be the orthogonal projections. Let $(e_j)_{j \geq 0}$ be an orthonormal basis of Y . On $X = Y \oplus Z$ we consider the norm

$$\|u\| := \max \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} |(Pu, e_j)|, \|Qu\| \right),$$

and we denote by τ the topology and all topological notions related to the topology generated by $\|\cdot\|$ (see [3], [15] or [5]). Clearly $\|u\| \leq \|u\|$ for every $u \in X$. Moreover, if (u_n) is a bounded sequence in X then

$$u_n \xrightarrow{\tau} u \iff Pu_n \rightarrow Pu \text{ and } Qu_n \rightarrow Qu. \quad (3)$$

We use the following notations:

$$Y_k := Y \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e^j \right), \quad Z_k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^\infty \mathbb{R}e^j},$$

$$B_k = \{u \in Y_k : \|u\| \leq \rho_k\}, \quad N_k = \{u \in Z_k : \|u\| = r_k\} \text{ where } 0 < r_k < \rho_k, k \geq 1.$$

Consider the following family of \mathcal{C}^1 -functionals $\Phi_\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) := L(u) - \lambda J(u), \quad \lambda \in [1, 2],$$

For $k \geq 1$, let $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ be the set of $\gamma : B_k \rightarrow X$ such that

- (a) γ is odd and τ -continuous, and $\gamma|_{\partial B_k} = id$,

- (b) every $u \in \text{int}(B_k)$ has a τ -neighborhood N_u in Y_k such that $(\text{id} - \gamma)(N_u \cap \text{int}(B_k))$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X ,
- (c) $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u) \forall u \in B_k$.

Define

$$a_k(\lambda) := \sup_{\substack{u \in Y_k \\ \|u\| = \rho_k}} \Phi_\lambda(u), \quad b_k(\lambda) := \inf_{\substack{u \in Z_k \\ \|u\| = r_k}} \Phi_\lambda(u),$$

$$c_k(\lambda) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)} \sup_{u \in B_k} \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)).$$

The following lemma was proved by Batkam and Colin in [5], by using the degree theory of Kryszewski and Szulkin.

Lemma 2 (Intersection lemma). *If γ satisfies conditions (a) and (b) above, then $\gamma(B_k) \cap N_k \neq \emptyset$.*

In the following we assume that:

- (A₁) For every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ Φ_λ is τ -upper semicontinuous and $\nabla \Phi_\lambda$ is weakly sequentially continuous.
- (A₂) $J(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in X$; $L(u) \rightarrow \infty$ or $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.
- (A₃) Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, and $\Phi_\lambda(-u) = \Phi_\lambda(u)$ for every $(\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times X$.

The main result of this section is stated as follow:

Theorem 3. *Under assumptions (A₁) – (A₃), if there are $0 < r_k < \rho_k$ such that $b_k(\lambda) > a_k(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, then $c_k(\lambda) \geq b_k(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. Moreover, for a.e $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, there exists a sequence $(u_k^n(\lambda))_n$ such that*

$$\sup_n \|u_k^n(\lambda)\| < \infty, \quad \Phi'_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda).$$

The proof of this theorem follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [2], with some appropriate modifications. For completeness we write it in detail.

Proof. We already know by Lemma 2 that $c_k(\lambda) \geq b_k(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. By (A₃) the map $\lambda \mapsto c_k(\lambda)$ is nonincreasing, consequently $c'_k(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}(c_k(\lambda))$ exists for a.e $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. We consider those $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ such that $c'_k(\lambda)$ exists. Let (λ_n) be a sequence of $[1, 2]$ such that $\lambda_n < \lambda$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, then there is $n_0(\lambda)$ such that

$$-c'_k(\lambda) - 1 \leq \frac{c_k(\lambda_n) - c_k(\lambda)}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \leq -c'_k(\lambda) + 1, \quad \forall n \geq n_0(\lambda).$$

Step 1. Let $n \geq n_0(\lambda)$ and $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)$ such that

$$\sup_{B_k} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(\gamma_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda_n) + (\lambda - \lambda_n).$$

We deduce from (A₃) that if $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) \geq c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$ for some $u \in B_k$, then $J(\gamma_n(u)) \leq -c'_k(\lambda) + 3$ and $L(\gamma_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda) + \lambda(-c'_k(\lambda) + 3)$, hence there exists $m = m(c'_k(\lambda))$ such that $\|\gamma_n(u)\| \leq m$. Now since $\lambda_n < \lambda$, for $u \in B_k$ we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) \leq \Phi_{\lambda_n}(\gamma_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda) + (2 - c'_k(\lambda))(\lambda - \lambda_n). \quad (4)$$

Step 2. Define for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough

$$F_\epsilon(\lambda, k) := \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq m(c'_k(\lambda)) + 4, \quad c_k(\lambda) - \epsilon \leq \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq c_k(\lambda) + \epsilon\}.$$

We claim that

$$\inf_{u \in F_\epsilon(\lambda, k)} \|\Phi'_\lambda(u)\| = 0.$$

In fact, assume by contradiction that there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\|\Phi_\lambda(u)\| \geq \epsilon_0$ for every $u \in F_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda, k)$. Choose γ_n such that $\lambda - \lambda_n < \epsilon_0$, $\lambda - \lambda_n < \frac{c_k(\lambda) - a_k(\lambda)}{2}$ and $(2 - c'_k(\lambda))(\lambda - \lambda_n) \leq \epsilon_0$, and define

$$F(\lambda, k) := \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq m(c'_k(\lambda)) + 4, \quad c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq c_k(\lambda) + \epsilon_0\}$$

and

$$w_\lambda(u) := 2\|\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u)\|^{-2}\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u), \quad \forall u \in F(\lambda, k).$$

Since $(\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u), w(u)) = 2 \forall u \in F(\lambda, k)$, we deduce from the weakly sequentially continuity of $\nabla\Phi_\lambda$ the existence of a symmetric τ -neighborhood N_u of u such that $(\nabla\Phi_\lambda(v), w_\lambda(u)) > 1 \forall v \in N_u \cap F(\lambda, k)$. Now, since Φ_λ is τ -upper semicontinuous, $\tilde{N} := \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\lceil -\infty, c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) \rceil)$ is τ -open. Hence $\mathcal{N} := \tilde{N} \cup \{N_u/u \in F(\lambda, k)\}$ is a τ -open covering of

$$\tilde{F}(\lambda, k) := \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq m(c'_k(\lambda)) + 4, \quad \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq c_k(\lambda) + \epsilon_0\}.$$

Since $(\tilde{F}(\lambda, k), \tau)$ is metric, there is a τ -locally finite τ -open covering $\mathcal{M} := \{M_i : i \in I\}$ of $\tilde{F}(\lambda, k)$ finer than \mathcal{N} . Let

$$V := \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i.$$

For every $i \in I$ one has either $M_i \subset N_v$ for some v or $M_i \subset \tilde{N}$. In the first case we define $v_i := w_\lambda(v)$ and in the second case $v_i := 0$. Let $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$ be a τ -Lipschitz continuous partition of unity subordinated to \mathcal{M} and define on V

$$h_\lambda(u) := \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i(u) v_i,$$

and

$$\tilde{h}_\lambda(u) := \frac{1}{2}(h(u) - h(-u)).$$

We know that the vector field \tilde{h}_λ satisfies the following properties (see [5]):

- (a) \tilde{h} is τ -locally Lipschitz continuous and locally Lipschitz continuous,
- (b) each point $u \in V$ has a τ -neighborhood V_u such that $\tilde{h}_\lambda(V_u)$ is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of X ,
- (c) $(\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u), \tilde{h}_\lambda(u)) \geq 0 \forall u \in V$,
- (d) $(\nabla\Phi_\lambda(u), \tilde{h}_\lambda(u)) > 1 \forall u \in F(\lambda, k)$.

Set $F^*(\lambda, k) := \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\lceil -\infty, c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) \rceil)$ and define

$$\psi_\lambda(u) := \text{dist}_\tau(u, F^*(\lambda, k)) [\text{dist}_\tau(u, F^*(\lambda, k)) + \text{dist}_\tau(u, F(\lambda, k))]^{-1} \quad \forall u \in V,$$

and

$$f_\lambda(u) := \psi_\lambda(u) \tilde{h}_\lambda(u) \quad \forall u \in V.$$

It is easy to verify that f_λ is odd, τ -locally Lipschitz, τ -continuous, locally Lipschitz and continuous. Define

$$F^{**}(\lambda, k) := \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq m(c'_k(\lambda)), \quad c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq c_k(\lambda) + \epsilon_0\}.$$

Since $\|\Phi'_\lambda(u)\| \geq \epsilon_0$ for $u \in F_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda, k)$, we have $\|f_\lambda(u)\| \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon_0}$ for $u \in F_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda, k)$. Hence for every $u \in A(\lambda, k) := \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\lceil -\infty, c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) \rceil) \cup F^{**}(\lambda, k)$, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\sigma(t, u) = -f_\lambda(\sigma(t, u)) \\ \sigma(0, u) = u \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\sigma(\cdot, u)$. Moreover σ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times A(\lambda, k)$, and since Φ_λ is odd, $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is odd.

Obviously for every $u \in A(\lambda, k)$ the map $t \mapsto \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(t, u))$ is nonincreasing.

Claim 1: σ is τ -continuous and every $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times A(\lambda, k)$ has a τ -neighborhood $N_{(t,u)}$ such that $\{v - \sigma(s, v)/(s, v) \in N_{(t,u)} \cap ([0, 1] \times A(\lambda, k))\}$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X .

In fact let $(t_0, u_0) \in [0, 2\epsilon_0] \times A(\lambda, k)$. The set $K := \mu([0, 2\epsilon_0] \times \{u_0\})$ is τ -compact. Thus there exists $r, p > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= \{u \in X : \|u - K\| < r\} \subset V \\ u, v \in U &\Rightarrow \|f_\lambda(u) - f_\lambda(v)\| \leq p\|u - v\| \end{aligned}$$

and $f_\lambda(U)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace W of X .

If $\sigma(t, u) \in U$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 2\epsilon_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(t, u) - \sigma(t, u_0)\| &\leq \|u - u_0\| + \int_0^t \|f_\lambda(\sigma(s, u)) - f_\lambda(\sigma(s, u_0))\| ds \\ &\leq \|u - u_0\| + p \int_0^t \|\sigma(s, u) - \sigma(s, u_0)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Gronwall lemma that

$$\|\sigma(t, u) - \sigma(t, u_0)\| \leq \|u - u_0\| \exp(pt) \leq \|u - u_0\| \exp(2\epsilon_0 p).$$

In particular for $\|u - u_0\| < r \exp(-2p\epsilon_0)$ and $0 < t < 2\epsilon_0$ we obtain

$$u - \sigma(t, u) = \int_0^t f_\lambda(\sigma(s, u)) ds \in W.$$

Now let $0 < \nu < r \exp(-2p\epsilon_0)$. If $\|u - u_0\| < \nu$, $|t - t_0| < \nu$ and $0 < t < 2\epsilon_0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(t, u) - \sigma(t_0, u_0)\| &\leq \|\sigma(t, u) - \sigma(t, u_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|f_\lambda(\sigma(s, u_0))\| ds \\ &\leq \left(\exp(2p\epsilon_0) + \frac{\delta}{4\epsilon_0} \right) \nu, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that σ is τ -continuous.

Claim 2: If we define $\beta_n(u) := \sigma(2\epsilon_0, \gamma_n(u)) \forall u \in B_k$, then $\beta_n \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)$.

Obviously β_n is odd, τ -continuous and $\Phi_\lambda(\beta_n(u)) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u) \forall u \in B_k$. Let $u \in \partial B_k$, then $\Phi_\lambda(u) \leq a_k(\lambda) \leq c_k(\lambda) - 2(\lambda - \lambda_n) < c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$ which implies that $u \in F^*(\lambda, k)$. Since $f_\lambda = 0$ on $F^*(\lambda, k)$, $\sigma(\cdot, u)$ is a constant map on $F^*(\lambda, k)$ and we have $\sigma(t, u) = \sigma(0, u) = u$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. It then follows that $\beta_n(u) = \sigma(2\epsilon_0, \gamma_n(u)) = \sigma(2\epsilon_0, u) = u$. Now let $u \in \text{int}(B_k)$, since $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)$, u has a τ -neighborhood N_u in Y_k such that $(id - \gamma_n)(N_u \cap \text{int}(B_k)) \subset W_1$, where W_1 is a finite-dimensional subspace of X . By claim1 the point $(1, \gamma(u))$ has a τ -neighborhood $M_{(1, \gamma(u))} = M_1 \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma(u)}$ such that $\{z - \eta(s, z)/(s, z) \in M_{(1, \gamma(u))} \cap ([0, 1] \times A(\lambda, k))\}$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace W_2 of X . Thus for every $v \in N_u \cap \gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\gamma(u)}) \cap \text{int}(B_k)$, we have $(id - \beta)(v) = (id - \gamma)(v) + \gamma(v) - \eta(1, \gamma(v)) \in W_1 + W_2$ which is finite-dimensional.

Claim 3: For every $u \in B_k$, $\Phi_\lambda(\beta_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$.

Let $u \in B_k$. Since the map $t \mapsto \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(t, u))$ is nonincreasing, the claim is obvious if $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) < c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$. Assume now that $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) \geq c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$. It then follows from the choice of γ_n and (1) that $\gamma_n(u) \in F(\lambda, k)$. Since $\|\sigma(t, \gamma_n(u)) - \gamma_n(u)\| \leq \int_0^t \|f_\lambda(\sigma(s, \gamma_n(u)))\| ds \leq \frac{2t}{\epsilon_0}$, we have $\|\sigma(2\epsilon_0, \gamma_n(u))\| \leq 4 + \|\gamma_n(u)\| \leq m + 4$. Assume that

$$\Phi_\lambda(\beta_n(u)) > c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n), \quad (5)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) &< \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(2\epsilon_0, \gamma_n(u))) \\ &\leq \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(t, \gamma_n(u))) \\ &\leq \Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) \\ &\leq c_k(\lambda) + (2 - c'_k(\lambda))(\lambda - \lambda_n) \\ &\leq c_k(\lambda) + \epsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma(t, \gamma_n(u)) \in F(\lambda, k) \forall t \in [0, 2\epsilon_0]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(2\epsilon_0, \gamma_n(u))) &= \Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) + \int_0^{2\epsilon_0} \frac{d}{ds} \Phi_\lambda(\sigma(s, \gamma_n(u))) ds \\ &\leq \Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) - 2\epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (4) and the choice of γ_n we have $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma_n(u)) - 2\epsilon_0 \leq c_k(\lambda) - \epsilon_0$, which contradicts (5) since $\epsilon_0 > \lambda - \lambda_n$. Consequently, $\Phi_\lambda(\beta_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)$ for every $u \in B_k$.

Now Claim 3 implies that

$$c_k(\lambda) \leq \sup_{u \in B_k} \Phi_\lambda(\beta_n(u)) \leq c_k(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n),$$

which is also a contradiction, and the proof is completed. \square

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we assume that $(f_1) - (f_7)$ are satisfied.

We define the functional

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (6)$$

It is well known that if Φ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 then its critical points correspond to weak solutions of (1). Let $L : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the self-adjoint operator defined by $(Lu, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv) dx$. By (f_6) , $X := H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the sum of two infinite L -invariant orthogonal subspaces Y and Z on which L is respectively negative definite and positive definite (see [3]). Let $P : X \rightarrow Y$ and $Q : X \rightarrow Z$ be the orthogonal projections, and consider the inner product on X defined by the formula

$$(u, v) := (L(Qu - Pu), v)_1, \quad u, v \in X$$

with the corresponding norm

$$\|u\| := \sqrt{(u, u)}.$$

The inner products (\cdot) and $(\cdot)_1$ are equivalent, so Y and Z are also orthogonal with respect to (\cdot) .

One can verify easily that

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\|Qu\|^2 - \|Pu\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 4. $F(x, u) > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}uf(x, u) > F(x, u)$ for $u \neq 0$.

We consider the family of functionals $\Phi_\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|Qu\|^2 - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\|Pu\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx\right), \quad \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

The proof of the following lemma is standard (see for example [3]).

Lemma 5. Φ_λ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 and τ -upper semicontinuous, and $\nabla\Phi_\lambda$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

Lemma 6. For a.e. $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ there exists $u_k(\lambda) \in X$ such that

$$\Phi_\lambda(u_k(\lambda)) = c_k(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'_\lambda(u_k(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \text{ big enough.}$$

Proof. (f_4) and (f_5) imply that, for any $\delta > 0$ there is c_δ such that $F(x, u) \geq c_\delta|u|^\mu - \delta|u|^2$ for any u . Therefore it is easy to prove, for some ρ_k big enough, that $a_k(\lambda) \leq 0, \forall \lambda \in [1, 2]$ (see [5]).

Now let $u \in Z_k$, then $Pu = 0$ and $Qu = u$. By (f_2) and (f_3) we have

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ small enough, } \exists c_\epsilon \text{ such that } |f(x, u)| \leq \epsilon|u| + c_\epsilon|u|^{p-1}. \quad (8)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda\epsilon}{2}|u|_2^2 - \frac{\lambda c_\epsilon}{p}|u|_p^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \epsilon|u|_2^2 - \frac{2c_\epsilon}{p}|u|_p^p \quad (\text{since } \lambda \leq 2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - c\beta_k^p\|u\|^p\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_k := \sup_{\substack{v \in Z_k \\ \|v\|=1}} |v|_p.$$

If we choose $r_k := (cp\beta_k^p)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$, then for $u \in Z_k$ with $\|u\| = r_k$,

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)(cp\beta_k^p)^{\frac{2}{2-p}},$$

which implies that

$$b_k(\lambda) \geq \tilde{b}_k := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)(cp\beta_k^p)^{\frac{2}{2-p}}. \quad (9)$$

We know by [15] that $\sup_{\substack{v \in Z_k \\ \|v\|=1}} |v|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\tilde{b}_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there is $k_0 > 0$ such that $b_k(\lambda) > 0 \geq a_k(\lambda)$ for $k > k_0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3, for a.e. $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, there exists a sequence $(v_k^n(\lambda))_{n \geq 0}$ in X such that, for every $k > k_0$,

$$\sup_n \|v_k^n(\lambda)\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_\lambda(v_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda), \quad \Phi'_\lambda(v_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $k > k_0$. It is evident that no subsequence of $(v_k^n(\lambda))_n$ converges to 0. By Lemma 1.7 of [3], there is a sequence $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and numbers $R, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(a_n, R)} |v_k^n(\lambda)|^2 dx \geq \delta.$$

Taking a subsequence if necessary we may suppose that

$$\|v_k^n(\lambda)\|_{L^2(B(a_n, r))} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \forall n. \quad (10)$$

By standard argument, there is $g_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$\|u_k^n(\lambda)\|_{L^2(B(0, r + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{N}))} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall n, \quad (11)$$

where

$$u_k^n(\lambda) := g_n * v_k^n(\lambda). \quad (12)$$

Clearly we have $\|u_k^n(\lambda)\| = \|v_k^n(\lambda)\|$, $\Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) = \Phi_\lambda(v_k^n(\lambda))$ and $\|\nabla \Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda))\| = \|\nabla \Phi_\lambda(v_k^n(\lambda))\|$, hence

$$\Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda), \quad \Phi'_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the sequence $(u_k^n(\lambda))_n$ is bounded, we deduce that, up to a subsequence

$$u_k^n(\lambda) \rightarrow u_k(\lambda) \text{ in } X, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (13)$$

$$u_k^n(\lambda) \rightarrow u_k(\lambda) \text{ in } L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (14)$$

$$u_k^n(\lambda) \rightarrow u_k(\lambda) \text{ a.e on } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

By (11) $u_k(\lambda) \neq 0$, and in view of the weak continuity of $\nabla \Phi_\lambda$ we get that $u_k(\lambda)$ is a critical point of Φ_λ . We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 20 of [5] to show that $\Phi_\lambda(u_k(\lambda)) = c_k(\lambda)$ and $\Phi'_\lambda(u_k(\lambda)) = 0$. \square

As the consequence of the preceding lemma we have:

Lemma 7. *There is a sequence $(\lambda_n) \subset [1, 2]$ and $(z_k^n) \subset X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$, $\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) = 0$ and $\Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) = c_k(\lambda_n)$.*

Lemma 8. *Let $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. If $z_\lambda \neq 0$ and $\Phi'_\lambda(z_\lambda) = 0$, then $\Phi_\lambda(z_\lambda + w) \leq \Phi_\lambda(z_\lambda)$ for every $w \in \mathcal{Z}_\lambda := \{rz_\lambda + v/r \geq -1, v \in Y\}$.*

Proof. Let $w = rz_\lambda + v \in \mathcal{Z}_\lambda$. Since $z_\lambda + w = (1+r)z_\lambda + v$, $\Phi'_\lambda(z_\lambda) = 0$ and $(Qz_\lambda, v) = 0$, one can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(z_\lambda + w) - \Phi_\lambda(z_\lambda) &= -\frac{\lambda}{2}\|v\|^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(x, z_\lambda)(r(\frac{r}{2} + 1)z_\lambda + \\ &\quad (1+r)v) + F(x, z_\lambda) - F(x, z_\lambda + w)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

And the conclusion follows from [4] Lemma 2.2. \square

Lemma 9. *The sequence (z_k^n) is bounded.*

Proof. To show that (z_k^n) is bounded we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that $\|z_k^n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and define $w_k^n = z_k^n / \|z_k^n\|$. Following the approach developed by Jeanjean [10], we will find the contradiction by showing that neither (Qw_k^n) vanishes nor it does not vanish.

- (1) Assume that (Qw_k^n) is vanishing. Then by [15] Lemma 1.21, $Qw_k^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By $(f_1) - (f_3)$, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is c_ϵ such that $|F(x, u)| \leq \epsilon|u|^2 + c_\epsilon|u|^p$. We then deduce that for every $r \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, rQw_k^n)dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now since $\|Qw_k^n\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from Lemma 8 that

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) \geq \Phi_{\lambda_n}(rQw_k^n) \geq \frac{r^2}{4} - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, rQw_k^n)dx.$$

Thus we have $c_k(1) \geq \frac{r^2}{4}$, which is a contradiction if we take r big enough.

- (2) Assume that (Qw_k^n) is not vanishing. Then there are $R, \delta > 0$ and a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y_n + B_R} |Qw_k^n|^2 dx \geq \delta.$$

Up to a translation and a subsequence we may suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0, R + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n})} |Qw_k^n|^2 dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{16}$$

Setting $w_k^n \rightarrow w_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (16) implies, since $Qw_k^n \rightarrow Qw_k$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that $Qw_k \neq 0$. And this implies that $|z_k^n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It then follows from (f_4) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$0 \leq \frac{\Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n)}{\|z_k^n\|^2} \rightarrow -\infty,$$

which is also a contradiction.

Consequently, (z_k^n) is bounded. □

Proof Theorem 1. Consider the sequence (z_k^n) above. From the relations

$$\Phi(z_k^n) = \Phi_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n) + (\lambda_n - 1)\|Pz_k^n\|^2 + (\lambda_n - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, z_k^n)dx,$$

and

$$\langle \Phi'(z_k^n) - \Phi'_{\lambda_n}(z_k^n), v \rangle = (\lambda_n - 1)[(Pz_k^n, v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} vf(x, z_k^n)dx],$$

we deduce that (z_k^n) is a (PS) -sequence for Φ at level $c_k(1)$. By repeating the argument of Lemma 6 we obtain, up to a translation and a subsequence, the existence of $z_k \in X$ such that $\Phi'(z_k) = 0$ and $\Phi(z_k) \geq \tilde{b}_k$, and the conclusion follows since $\tilde{b}_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* 14, 349-381(1973).
 [2] W. Zou, Variant fountain theorems and their applications. *Manuscripta Math.* 104 (2001), no. 3, 343-358.
 [3] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Advances in Differential Equation*, vol. 3, no. 3 (1998) 441-472.
 [4] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems. *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009), no. 12, 3802-3822.
 [5] C. J. Batkam, F. Colin, Infinitely many solutions to some semilinear problems with strongly indefinite linear part, Laurentian University, research report May 2012, to be submitted to *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*

- [6] M. Willem, W. Zou, On a semilinear Dirichlet problem and a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 52(2003), 109-132.
- [7] M.-H. Yang, Z.-Q. Han, Existence and multiplicity results for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson systems, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 13 (2012) 1093-1101.
- [8] F. Zhao, L. Zhao, Y. Ding, Multiple solutions for a superlinear and periodic elliptic system on \mathbb{R}^N . *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 62 (2011), no. 3, 495-511
- [9] A. A. Pankov, K. P. Pflüger, On a semilinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, *Nonlinear Analysis* 33 (1998) 593-609.
- [10] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N . *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A* 129, 787-809 (1999).
- [11] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 223-283, 1984.
- [12] T. Bartsch, Y. Ding, Deformation theorems on non-metrizable vector spaces and applications to critical point theory. *Math. Nachr.* 279 (2006), no. 12, 1267-1288.
- [13] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*. Second Edition, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [14] M. Struwe, The existence of surfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundaries. *Acta Math.* 160, 19-64 (1998).
- [15] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Boston (1996).

CYRIL JOËL BATKAM
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES,
UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE,
SHERBROOKE, (QUÉBEC),
J1K 2R1, CANADA.
Fax: (819)821-7189.
E-mail address: cyril.joel.batkam@Usherbrooke.ca

FABRICE COLIN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
LAURENTIAN UNIVERSITY,
RAMSEY LAKE ROAD, SUDBURY, (ONTARIO),
P3E 2C6, CANADA.
Fax: (705)673-6591.
E-mail address: fcolin@cs.laurentian.ca